REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11 and 13-18 were examined. All claims were rejected. In response to the above-identified Final Office Action, Applicant amends claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 15 and 17, but does not cancel any claims or add any new claims. Reconsideration of the rejected claims in light of the aforementioned amendments and the following remarks is requested.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 15 and 17 are merely amended in order to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the present invention without adding any new matter. Support for the amendments appears in the specification at, *e.g.*, p. 5, lines 15-19 and p. 13, lines 12-15.

The present invention is definitely different from those described in U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0229441 A1 by Pechatnikov *et al.* ("*Pechatnikov*") and U.S. Patent No. 6,718,237 to Murray *et al.* ("*Murray*"), the references relied upon by the Examiner.

First of all, contrary to *Murray*, the present invention relates to a mobile terminal, *i.e.* a mobile phone, not a personal data assistant (PDA). Generally, a PDA can have a large memory for storing large pieces of data. In *Murray*, the terminal, *i.e.* a PDA having a navigation function, stores map information. Such map information generally includes locations of and information about roads, locations and information about buildings, and the like, comprising considerable quantities of data. Although the size of the map information varies according to the quality and resolution of the map, PDAs generally use map information that requires 2 to 3 gigabytes (GB) of memory. However, the present invention is for a mobile phone which cannot store such large map databases. Thus, in a mobile terminal according to the present invention, the mobile terminal receives not only location information but also small map data containing only geographical features around the terminal's location (the origin). Therefore, the present invention is technically different from the cited references.

In addition, according to the present invention, the map information transmitted to the mobile communication terminal is gradationally changed in response to a user's request. Referring to lines 12 to 15 on page 13, the method of the present invention processes only that location information that the user has marked. That is, the user can request specific information from among the geographical data available and can

confirm the specific information based on his request. Also, it can be an advantage for a service provider who supplies differentiated GPS service to each mobile user.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending, namely claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11 and 13-18, patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record, and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would be useful in moving the application forward to allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

Dated:	Respectfully submitted, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP
	Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139

12400 Wilshire Boulevard	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (310) 207-3800	I hereby certify that the correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
	Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
	Madeya Horson Nadya Gordon March 7, 200